

**FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**BID TABULATION AND NOTICE OF AWARD RECOMMENDATION**  
**DATE OF POSTING: 8/2/11 TIME OF POSTING: 1:00 p.m.**  
**Purchasing Agent: Betty-Jean (BJ) Lewis – blewis@admin.fsu.edu**

ITN NUMBER: FAC30934-11  
ITN TITLE: Tully Gym Aesthetic Rebranding

BID OPENING: August 2, 2011  
BID OPENING TIME: 1:00 p.m., est room UCD 3005D

| VENDORS     | BASE BID  | SCORE TOTAL |  |  |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| 49 Deeper   | 69,800.00 | 142         |  |  |
| Jack Porter | 69,110.00 | 148         |  |  |
|             |           |             |  |  |
|             |           |             |  |  |
|             |           |             |  |  |
|             |           |             |  |  |

Bid Opening by Lj1 Date: 8/2/11 Bids Tabulated By: K5 Date: 8/2/11 Bids Verified By: BJ Date: 8/2/11 Endorsed By Project Director: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
This is to advise you that Florida State University has recommended that the contract for the referenced project to awarded to the fir of \_\_\_\_\_, in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ accepting the \_\_\_\_\_ determined to be the lowest acceptable qualified bid.  
Tabulation with recommended awards(s) will be posted at Facilities Purchasing Department for review by interested parties shortly after the scheduled opening date of the invitation, and will remain posted for a period of 72 hours, not including Saturday, Sundays, and Holidays. Any person who is affected adversely by the University's decision or intended decision in connection with this proposal shall file a written "Notice of Protest" is considered filed when it is received at the address listed on the front of the Acknowledgement Form. Failure to file a "Formal Protest" and the required bond under Rule 6C-2.015(13), within 10 days after filing the "Notice to Protest" shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Section 120.57(3), (g), Florida Statutes

Name: Rob Wilson

Date: Jack Potter

Presenting Company:

Jack Potter

8/2/2011

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Grand Total  
148

Bid amount  
\$69,110.00

Total  
36

Name: *Titus Queen*

Date: *8/2/11*

Presenting Company: *Jack Porter*

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10

*Total  
40*

Name: Chris Poole

Date: 8/2/11

Presenting Company: Jack Porter

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Total  
36

Name: Brandi Stuart

Date: 8/2/11

Presenting Company: Jack Porter

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Total  
90

Name: Rob Wilson  
Presenting Company: y9designs

Date: Jack Potter  
8/2/2011

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Grand Total  
142

Bid Am  
69,800.00

Total  
36

Name: Titus Queen

Date: 8/2/11

Presenting Company: 49 Degrees

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Total  
38

Name: Chris Poole

Date: 8/2/11

Presenting Company: Forty Nine Degrees

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Total  
34

Name: Brandi Stuart

Date: 8/2/11

Presenting Company: 49 degrees

1. How would you rate this company's presentation and initial design ideas with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (40 % of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. How would you rate this company's previous work with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. How would you rate this company's references with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (I will issue a report on References prior to Tuesday's scoring meeting) (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. How would you rate the competitiveness of this company's bid price with 1 being poor and 10 being outstanding? (20% of total score)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Total  
34